

# Induced Representations

Jackson Gatenby

March 19, 2014

## 1 Representations of a Group Product

We first take an aside to consider representations formed by taking a group product. Let  $G_1, G_2$  be groups, and let  $\rho_1 : G_1 \rightarrow GL(V_1)$  and  $\rho_2 : G_2 \rightarrow GL(V_2)$  be representations of  $G_1, G_2$  on  $V_1, V_2$  respectively. We can define the tensor product  $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 : G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow GL(V_1 \otimes V_2)$  by

$$(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2)(g_1, g_2) = \rho_1(g_1) \otimes \rho_2(g_2),$$

(recall that for  $f \in GL(V_1), g \in GL(V_2)$ ,  $f \otimes g \in GL(V_1 \otimes V_2)$  is given by  $v_1 \otimes v_2 \mapsto f(v_1) \otimes g(v_2)$ ).

We have that  $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2$  is a linear representation of  $G_1 \otimes G_2$ ; the proof is similar to our previous definition of a tensor product of representations: for  $g_i, h_i \in G_i, v_i \in V_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (g_1 h_1, g_2 h_2) \cdot (v_1 \otimes v_2) &= (g_1 h_1 \cdot v_1) \otimes (g_2 h_2 \cdot v_2) \\ &= (g_1, g_2) \cdot (h_1 \cdot v_1 \otimes h_2 \cdot v_2) \\ &= (g_1, g_2)(h_1, h_2) \cdot (v_1 \otimes v_2), \end{aligned}$$

and by linearity, the result extends to all of  $V_1 \otimes V_2$ . (Here, we used the notation  $g \cdot v$  to mean  $\rho(g)v$  where the representation  $\rho$  is understood.)  $\square$

Our former definition of a representation tensor product is a particular case of this: if we take the case where  $G = G_1 = G_2$ , and restrict the representation to the diagonal subgroup  $\{(g, g) \in G \times G \mid g \in G\} \simeq G$ , we obtain our previous definition. Note however that we should still distinguish between a representation  $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2$  on  $G$  and on  $G \times G$ , despite the identical notation.

If  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  are the characters of  $\rho_1, \rho_2$ , then we also have that the character  $\chi$  of  $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2$  is also given by

$$\chi(g_1, g_2) = \chi_1(g_1)\chi_2(g_2).$$

We then have the following result:

**Theorem 1.** *If  $\rho_1, \rho_2$  are irreducible representations of  $G_1, G_2$  on  $V_1, V_2$  respectively, then  $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2$  is an irreducible representation of  $G_1 \times G_2$ . Moreover, each irreducible representation on  $G_1 \times G_2$  is isomorphic to  $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2$  for some irreducible  $\rho_1, \rho_2$  of  $G_1, G_2$ .*

Hence, if a group  $G$  can be decomposed as  $G = G_1 \times G_2$ , this reduces the study of representations on  $G$  to representations on  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ .

*Proof.* If  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  are irreducible with characters  $\chi_1, \chi_2$ , and  $\chi_{1,2}$  is the character of  $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_{1,2} | \chi_{1,2}) &= \frac{1}{|G_1 \times G_2|} \sum_{\substack{g_1 \in G_1 \\ g_2 \in G_2}} |\chi_{1,2}(g_1, g_2)|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{|G_1||G_2|} \sum_{\substack{g_1 \in G_1 \\ g_2 \in G_2}} |\chi_1(g_1)\chi_2(g_2)|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{|G_1|} \left( \sum_{g_1 \in G_1} |\chi_1(g_1)|^2 \right) \cdot \frac{1}{|G_2|} \left( \sum_{g_2 \in G_2} |\chi_2(g_2)|^2 \right) \\ &= (\chi_1 | \chi_1)(\chi_2 | \chi_2) = 1 \cdot 1 = 1, \end{aligned}$$

whence  $\chi_{1,2}$  is irreducible.

To show that each irreducible representation of  $G_1 \times G_2$  is isomorphic to some  $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2$ , Serre gives the following proof: it suffices to show that for all characters of the form  $\chi_{1,2}(g_1, g_2) = \chi_1(g_1)\chi_2(g_2)$  for irreducible  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  of  $G_1, G_2$ , that any class function  $f$  on  $G_1 \times G_2$  which is orthogonal to each  $\chi_{1,2}$  is identically zero, and so the  $\chi_{1,2}$  form an orthonormal basis for the space of class functions. Suppose then that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = (f | \chi_{1,2}) &= \sum_{\substack{g_1 \in G_1 \\ g_2 \in G_2}} f(s_1, s_2) \overline{\chi_1(s_1)\chi_2(s_2)} \\ &= \sum_{g_1 \in G_1} \left( \sum_{g_2 \in G_2} f(g_1, g_2) \overline{\chi_2(g_2)} \right) \overline{\chi_1(g_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\hat{f}(g_1) := \sum_{g_2 \in G_2} f(g_1, g_2) \overline{\chi_2(g_2)}$ , we then have  $(\hat{f} | \chi_1) = 0$ , so  $\hat{f} \equiv 0$  (since it is easily seen that  $\hat{f}$  is a class function on  $G_1$ ). Then for any  $g_1 \in G_1$ ,

$$0 = \hat{f}(g_1) = \sum_{g_2 \in G_2} f(g_1, g_2) \overline{\chi_2(g_2)} = (f(g_1, \cdot) | \chi_2),$$

and since  $f(g_1, \cdot)$  is a class function on  $G_2$ , we have that  $f(g_1, \cdot) \equiv 0$  for all  $g_1$ , hence  $f \equiv 0$ .  $\square$

## 2 Induced Representations

Let  $G$  be a group, and let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . If we have a representation  $W$  of  $H$ , can we ‘extend’ it to form a unique representation of  $G$ ?

Let  $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V)$  be a representation, and let  $W \leq V$  be a subspace which is  $H$ -invariant (that is,  $W$  is a subrepresentation of the restriction  $\rho|_H : H \rightarrow GL(V)$ ). We can also denote a restriction of  $\rho$  to  $H$  by  $\text{Res}_H^G V$ , or simply  $\text{Res } V$ ). Then, for any  $g \in G$ ,  $h \in H$ , we have

$$\rho(gh)W = \rho(g) \circ \rho(h)W = \rho(g)W,$$

hence the collection  $\{\rho(g)W \mid g \in G\}$  is indexed by the left cosets of  $G/H$ ; for each left coset  $\sigma \in G/H$ , we can write  $\sigma \cdot W$  or  $W_\sigma$  for  $\rho(g)W$  (where  $\sigma = gH$ ). Moreover, each  $g \in G$  permutes these translates of  $W$ , so that  $\sum_{\sigma \in G/H} \sigma \cdot W$  is a subrepresentation of  $V$ .

If we were given  $G, H$ , and the action of  $H$  on  $W$ , under what conditions could we uniquely reconstruct, or ‘induce’ the action of  $G$  on  $V$ ?

**Definition 1.** We say that the representation  $V$  of  $G$  is *induced* by  $W$  if

$$V = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G/H} \sigma \cdot W.$$

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $G$  be a group,  $H$  a subgroup of  $G$ , and  $W$  be a representation of  $H$ . There exists a representation  $(\rho, V)$  of  $G$  which is induced by  $W$ .*

*Proof.* We give a construction of  $V$ : For each  $\sigma \in G/H$ , construct a copy  $W_\sigma$  of  $W$ . Select a representative  $g_\sigma \in \sigma$  (for  $\sigma = H$ , choose  $g_\sigma = e$ ), and let  $g_\sigma w \in W_\sigma$  denote the copy of  $w \in W$ . Then, let  $V = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G/H} W_\sigma$ .

It remains to define a representation action  $\rho$  on  $V$ , we take the natural choice: For  $s \in G$ , we define  $\rho(s)(g_\sigma w)$  as follows: let  $\tau = s\sigma \in G/H$  with representative  $g_\tau$ , so that  $h := g_\tau^{-1}sg_\sigma \in H$ . Then, set

$$\rho(s)(g_\sigma w) = g_\tau(\rho_H(h)w),$$

and extend linearly to all of  $V$ . In particular,  $\rho(h)w = \rho_H(h)w$  for  $h \in H, w \in W$ . To see that this is a representation on  $V$ , we must show that  $\rho(s's)(g_\sigma w) = \rho(s')\rho(s)(g_\sigma w)$ . Let  $\tau' = s'\tau \in G/H$  and  $h' = g_{\tau'}^{-1}s'g_\tau \in H$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(s's)(g_\sigma w) &= g_{\tau'}(\rho_H(h'h)w) \\ &= g_{\tau'}(\rho_H(h')\rho_H(h)w) \\ &= \rho(s')(g_\tau(\rho_H(h)w)) \\ &= \rho(s')\rho(s)(g_\sigma w). \end{aligned}$$

By linearity, we have that  $\rho$  forms a representation on  $V$ . □

To see uniqueness of the induced representation, we shall demonstrate the following:

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $W$  be a representation of  $H$ , and suppose that  $V$  is induced by  $H$ . Then, for any representation  $U$  of  $G$ , and map  $\phi : W \rightarrow U$ , there exists a unique representation map  $\tilde{\phi} : V \rightarrow U$  such that  $\tilde{\phi}(w) = \phi(w)$  for all  $w \in W$ . Equivalently,*

$$\text{Hom}_H(W, \text{Res } U) = \text{Hom}_G(\text{Ind } W, U).$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $V = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G/H} \sigma \cdot W$ . By linearity, it suffices to show the result for arbitrary  $\sigma \in G/H$  and  $x \in \sigma \cdot W$ . Suppose  $\tilde{\phi}$  satisfies this condition, then for any  $g \in \sigma$ , we must have  $g^{-1}x \in W$ , hence

$$\tilde{\phi}(x) = \tilde{\phi}(gg^{-1} \cdot x) = g \cdot \tilde{\phi}(g^{-1} \cdot x) = g \cdot \phi(g^{-1} \cdot x).$$

This uniquely determines  $\tilde{\phi}$ ; moreover we can define  $\tilde{\phi}$  in this way: take an arbitrary  $g \in \sigma$ , and let

$$\tilde{\phi}(x) := g \cdot \phi(g^{-1} \cdot x).$$

This map is independent of the choice of  $g$ ; if  $g = gh$  for  $h \in H$ , then

$$gh \cdot \phi((gh)^{-1} \cdot x) = g \cdot h \cdot \phi(h^{-1}g^{-1} \cdot x) = g \cdot \phi(hh^{-1}g^{-1} \cdot x) = g \cdot \phi(g^{-1} \cdot x).$$

It is readily verified that  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a representation map.  $\square$

We therefore have the following result:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G$  be a group,  $H$  a subgroup of  $G$ , and  $W$  be a representation of  $H$ . There exists a unique (up to isomorphism) representation  $V$  of  $G$  which is induced by  $W$ .*

*Proof.* Existence is given in Lemma 1. For uniqueness, suppose  $U$  and  $V$  are both induced by  $W$ , then Lemma 2 gives an isomorphism between them.  $\square$

If  $V$  is induced by  $W$ , we write  $V = \text{Ind}_H^G W = \text{Ind } W$ .

We can now consider the character of  $V = \text{Ind } W$ . Note that  $g \in G$  maps  $\sigma W$  to  $g\sigma W$ , so the trace of  $\chi_V(g)$  is given by only those cosets where  $g\sigma = \sigma$ . For arbitrary  $s \in \sigma$ , since  $\rho(s) : W \rightarrow \sigma \cdot W$  is an isomorphism with  $\rho(s) \circ \rho(s^{-1}gs)|_W = \rho(g)|_{\sigma \cdot W} \circ \rho(s)$ , we have that  $\text{tr}(\rho(g)|_{\sigma \cdot W}) = \text{tr}(\rho(s^{-1}gs)|_W) = \chi_W(s^{-1}gs)$ . Since  $V$  is the direct sum of all  $\sigma \cdot W$ , we have

$$\chi_V(g) = \sum_{g\sigma = \sigma} \chi_W(s^{-1}gs) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\substack{s \in G \\ s^{-1}gs \in H}} \chi_W(s^{-1}gs).$$

In the first equality, we take an arbitrary representative  $s \in \sigma$  for each  $\sigma$ . The second equality follows from noting that  $\chi_W(s^{-1}gs) = \chi_W(r^{-1}gr)$  when  $sH = rH$ .

## 2.1 An Alternative Definition

We also present an alternative, analytic definition of the induced representation of  $W$ :

**Definition 2.** Let  $G$  be a group, and let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . If  $(\pi, W)$  is a representation of  $H$ , let

$$V = \{f : G \rightarrow W \mid \forall g \in G, h \in H, f(hg) = \pi(h)f(g)\}$$

For  $g \in G$ , define an action  $\rho(g)$  on  $V$  which sends  $f \in V$  to the function  $\rho(g)f$ , where

$$(\rho(g)f)(x) = f(xg).$$

Then,  $\rho$  is a representation on  $V$ , and we say that  $V$  is the *induced representation* of  $W$ .

It remains to show that  $\rho$  is indeed a representation, and that this definition agrees with Definition 1. It may be an interesting exercise to give a proof of Lemma 2 for this definition.

## 3 Examples

We end by listing some examples and other results. Checking these is left as an exercise.

- Take  $V$  to be the regular representation of  $G$ , with basis  $\{e_g \mid g \in G\}$ , and take  $W$  to be the regular representation of  $H$ . Then  $V = \text{Ind } W$ .
- Take  $V$  to be the permutation representation of  $G$  associated with  $G/H$ , with basis  $\{e_\sigma \mid \sigma \in G/H\}$ , and take  $W$  to be the trivial subrepresentation  $\mathbb{C}e_H$ . Then  $V = \text{Ind } W$ .
- If  $W = \bigoplus W_i$ , then  $\text{Ind } W = \bigoplus \text{Ind } W_i$ .
- If  $U$  is a representation of  $G$ , and  $W$  a representation over  $H$ , then  $U \otimes \text{Ind } W = \text{Ind}(\text{Res}(U) \otimes W)$ . In particular,  $\text{Ind}(\text{Res}(U)) = U \otimes P$ , where  $P$  is the permutation representation associated with  $G/H$ . A description of  $\text{Res}(\text{Ind}(W))$  is given in Serre §7.3.
- If  $H \leq K \leq G$ , then  $\text{Ind}_H^G(W) = \text{Ind}_K^G(\text{Ind}_H^K(W))$ .

References: Serre §3.2, 3.3; Fulton & Harris §3.3.